

PET Quantification using STIR

STIR User's Meeting Charalampos Tsoumpas, PhD King's College London

Hammersmith Imanet

PET Quantification

Image elements should correspond to concentration of the injected radiotracer

What corrections are necessary for it:

- Attenuation
- Scatter
- Motion
- Randoms
- Normalization
- Dead Time

Overview of the talk

PET Quantification using STIR: 3D Scatter Correction Parametric Reconstruction

Theory How to use **Examples** Limitations & Future Work

3D Scatter Correction

Single Scatter Simulation - SSS

The scatter probabilities are estimated by Klein-Nishina formula for each possible scatter point in the transmission image.

$$
P_{AB} = \int_{\text{scatter}} \left(\frac{\sigma_{AS} \sigma_{BS}}{r^2 \text{ As } r^2 \text{ BS}} \right) (P_{ASB} + P_{BSA}) dS
$$

\n
$$
P_{ASB} = \varepsilon_{AS} (E) e^{-\int_{A}^{5} \mu(E, l) dl} \int_{A}^{S} \lambda(l) \frac{d\mu(E, S, \theta)}{d\Omega} e^{-\int_{S}^{B} \mu(E', l) dl} \varepsilon_{BS} (E')
$$

\n
$$
P_{BSA} = \varepsilon_{BS} (E) e^{-\int_{B}^{S} \mu(E, l) dl} \int_{B}^{S} \lambda(l) \frac{d\mu(E, S, \theta)}{d\Omega} e^{-\int_{S}^{A} \mu(E', l) dl} \varepsilon_{AS} (E')
$$

Compensation for multiple and out of the FoV scattering Each plane of the scatter estimation is scaled by an appropriate factor

Watson CC *et al*. "A single-scatter simulation technique for scatter correction in 3D PET" *Fully 3D Image Recon in Radiology & Nucl Med*. 1996 4

Implementation in STIR

Scatter Buildblock classes and relative files

Scatter Correction in 4 steps

Watson CC "New, Faster, Image-Based Scatter Correction for 3D PET" *IEEE Trans.*⁶ *Nucl. Sci.* 47 (4) 1587-1594, 2000

STIR How To: Scatter Correct

STEP 1: estimate scatter scatter.par

```
attenuation_threshold :=.01 
random :=0 
use cache :=1
energy resolution :=. 22
lower_energy_threshold :=350 
upper energy threshold :=650
```

```
activity image filename := $ {ACTIVITY IMAGE}
density image filename := ${DENSITY IMAGE}
density image for scatter points filename:=${LOW RES DENSITY IMAGE}
template proj data filename := ${TEMPLATE}
```
output filename prefix := \$ {OUTPUT PREFIX}

End Scatter Estimation Parameters:=

STIR How To: Scatter Correct

STEP 4: Remove Scatter Estimate and repeat steps (1) and (3). Then, average the two scatter estimates.

Phantom Results

Not corrected

Corrected with SSS

SimSET total scatter corrected

Implementation in STIR

- Current Limitations Potential Optimizations:
	- Three separate executables: merge all in one
	- Upsampling for oblique sinograms is handled in a simple way: (pseudo)-inverse SSRB
- Potential Extensions
	- ToF scatter correction
	- Scatter estimation for List Mode data

Relevant Literature

- Tsoumpas C *et al.* "Evaluation of the Single Scatter Simulation Algorithm Implemented in the STIR Library" 2004 IEEE NSS-MIC, Rome.
- Aguiar P *et al*. "Assessment of scattered photons on the quantification of small animal PET studies" *EANM*, Athens, Greece, 2006.
- Dikaios N "Scatter correction in 3D PET", MSc Thesis, Patras, Greece, 2006.
- Polycarpou I "Evaluation of Scatter Correction Approaches in PET", MSc Thesis, King's College London, 2009.

Overview

PET Quantification using STIR: 3D Scatter Correction Parametric Reconstruction

Theory How to use **Examples** Limitations & Future Work

Quantitative dynamic studies

Parametric Reconstruction

Why Direct Reconstruction?

- Image Reconstruction: FBP does not offer high resolution and quality parametric images, thus OSEM would be preferable. However, the statistical properties of the reconstructed images are not well approximated with normal distribution for OSEM (*Foundations of Image Science, Barrett & Myers*).

- Kinetic Modelling: Assume Normal Distribution of emission images within repeated measurements.

Parametric Reconstruction

An iterative algorithm based on OSEM that integrates the kinetic model in reconstruction

$$
K_{vk}^{(n+1)} = K_{vk}^{(n)} \frac{1}{\sum_{b,f} P_{byf} M_{kf}} \sum_{b,f} P_{byf} \left(\frac{Y_{bf}}{\sum_{\tilde{k}, \tilde{v}} P_{b\tilde{v}f} (K_{\tilde{v}\tilde{k}}^{(n)} M_{\tilde{k}f}) + S_{bf} + R_{bf} M_{kf}} \right)
$$

K: Kinetic Parameter

M: Linear Kinetic Model Matrix

- *S, R:* Scatter and Random Sinograms
- *P:* System Matrix (including scanner geometry, attenuation, normalisation etc.)
- *Y:* Projection Data
- *b, f, v, k:* notations for sinogram, bins, time frames, voxels, kinetic parameter

C. Tsoumpas, F.E. Turkheimer, K. Thielemans, "Study of direct and indirect parametric estimation methods of linear models in dynamic positron emission tomography." *Med Phys*, 2008.

Implementation in STIR

Modelling Buildblock classes and relative classes

STIR How To: Modelling

Indirect Patlak Plot

Example for Reference Tissue

Patlak Plot Parameters:=

```
time frame definition filename:=time.fdef 
starting frame := 23 
calibration factor := 1 
scale factor := 1 
blood data filename := ref.roi 
; In seconds 
Time Shift := 0 
In total counts := 0 
In correct scale := 1
```

```
end Patlak Plot Parameters:=
```
Example for Plasma Input Function

```
time frame definition filename:=time.fdef 
starting frame := 23 
calibration factor := 1942 
scale factor := 1 
blood data filename := plasma.tac 
; In seconds 
Time Shift := 15 
In total counts := 0 
In correct scale := 1
```

```
end Patlak Plot Parameters:=
```
Patlak Plot Parameters:=

Direct Reconstruction (POSMAPOSL or POSSPS)

objective function type:=PoissonLogLikelihoodWithLinearKineticModelAndDynamicProjectionData

Comparison of converged images of a single realization FDG untake for the plane 23

filtered OSEM (480 it.)

filtered POSEM (19296 it.)

POSEM (19296 it.)

Original

filtered POSEM (19296 it.)

POSEM (19296 it)

Two transaxial planes of the phantom images for one noise realization for the FDG uptake reconstructed with the different methods. Inverse grey scale was used ranging from 0 to the maximum of the original parametric planes. (Note that the last 96 POSEM iterations were performed with PMLEM)

FDG uptake for the plane 30

Tsoumpas C, PhD Thesis, Imperial College London, 2008

Example of an FDOPA study

Comparison of parametric maps for a patient and a healthy volunteer on a large axial FoV and high sensitivity PET scanner.

Quantitative Comparison

Voxel-wise scatter plots comparing the quantitative values in the left and right striatum for a normal (left side) and an abnormal (right side) subject.

Need for Speed

The mean ROI values (left) and standard deviation (right) for the tracer uptake on the left striatum are presented for a psychotic (up) and a normal (down) study. Three different descending subsets schemes are presented.

G. Angelis, *et al. WMIC*, Nice, France, 2008.

Implementation in STIR

- Current Limitation:
	- Compilation only by defining the NUM_PARAMS as preprocessor variable
- Possible Optimization:
	- POSMAPOSL could use less fwd-projection steps
	- Much faster convergence using alternates steps direct reconstruction (Wang and Qi, 2009 TMI)
- Potential Extensions
	- Straight integration of other algorithms
	- Additional temporal basis (e.g. Spectral Analysis, B-Splines)
	- $-$ List-Mode based direct reconstruction $\overline{a_{23}}$

PET Quantification

What next?

- Motion Correction
- *Randoms*
- *Normalization*
- *Dead Time*

However, details from the scanner manufacturers are not easily accessible.

Acknowledgments

Kris Thielemans (Supervisor) Federico Turkheimer (Academic supervisor)

Pablo Aguiar (SSS Evaluation) Georgios Angelis (Direct Recon Schemes Optimization) Nikolaos Dikaios (Extending/Testing SSS) Ravindra Manjeswar (SimSET Data) Irene Polycarpou (Scatter Correction Evaluation)